

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

INDIANAFOLIS, IN 40204	APPLICATION NO	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
BARNES & THORNBURG  11 SOUTH MERIDIAN INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204  CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C  ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	10/623,782		07/21/2003	Paul K. Meeker	20341-72797	6096	
11 SOUTH MERIDIAN INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204  ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	23643	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204 ART UNIT PAPER NUMB				CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C			
3634					ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
31.54					3634		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/623,782	MEEKER, PAUL K.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	<u>arch 2005</u> .						
,	.—						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-18,21 and 23-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 14 is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>11,17 and 21</u> is/are allowed.	· · · <del>-</del>						
	Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18 and 23-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ratent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

M

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4,7,8,10,12-14 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not provide support for each ends of a first cable being coupled to one leg of a leg unit, as set forth in claims 4 and 7.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3,5 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Pollock. Pollock shows biasing means 50,50°.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,782

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollock. To substitute conventional metal strand for his cable means 50,50' to enable a strong flexible cable means, would have been an obvious mechanical expediency.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollock in view of Bufogle. Pollock shows the claimed ladder with the exception of the over center linkage. Bufogle shows an over center linkage at 22,23,27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Pollock with an over center linkage, in lieu of his, as taught by Bufogle, for spreading his leg units. Furthermore, Pollock's linkage is an over center linkage although he is silent on the naming of his linkage as the construction of his linkage is commonly known as over center locking linkage.

Claims 15,16,18 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pollock in view of either Payne or Wittliff. Pollock shows the claimed ladder with the exception of X-shaped cable brace being connected at an

Art Unit: 3634

apex. Both Payne and Wittliff show a cable braces being connected at an apex to enhance tensioning. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cables 50,50° of Pollock to be connected, as taught by either Payne or Wittliff, to enhance tensioning.

Claims 11,17 and 21 are allowable over the prior art.

Applicant's election of fig.1 in the reply filed on 12.2.04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicant's arguments filed 3.21.05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the means for biasing, it is unclear if applicant is invoking 35USC 112, sixth paragraph, as applicant merely states that the claims are presented in such format. Is applicant invoking 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph? Pollock's spreading means 32,34 and stabilizing means 50,50' are equivalent spreading and biasing means as disclosed by Applicant, thus Pollock meets the claimed invention.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Art Unit: 3634

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 571-272-6828. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,782

Art Unit: 3634

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634

ACS